Public Document Pack Shropsh

Date: Tuesday, 24 June 2014

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: Shrewsbury/Oswestry Room, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Contact: Linda Jeavons, Committee Officer Tel: 01743 252738 Email: <u>linda.jeavons@shropshire.gov.uk</u>

SOUTH PLANNING COMMITTEE

SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS

NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting



www.shropshire.gov.uk General Enquiries: 0845 678 9000

Printed on recycled paper

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 16

	CENTRAL PLANNING COMMITTEE SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS			
	Date: 24 th June 2014			
NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be				
reported verbally to the meeting				
Item No. 9	Application No. 13/03862/OUT	Originator: Andy Williams (agent)		
	The agent has submitted the following statement:			
	With only three minutes available to present a summary of the case on behalf of the			
	applicant, we thought that it might be helpful to provide a copy of the 'message' we would			
	hope to convey in advance of the meeting, so that it can be considered and reflected upon			
	before the debate and any decision is made on the application.			
	 The key points we would ask you to note are as follows: The application is in outline with only the principle of development and access for 			
	 consideration at this time. The original proposal to construct a new access road under the A49 adjacent to the 			
	River has been superseded, in favour of a more simple roundabout arrangement			
	 directly into the site. The Highways Agency is notoriously difficult to satisfy, but it is has confirmed that the 			
	proposed new roundabout off the A49 is acceptable. The site is therefore accessible			
	and is no less sustainable in terms of its location than the SAMDev allocations which are situated on the far side of the A49			
	 Pedestrian access can be provided by the two proposed footbridges. Access to 			
	Bromfield Road to the west will connect to the primary and secondary schools and			
	leisure centre, and there is a bus route into the Town. Furthermore the proposals include scope for a neighbourhood store.			
	 The footbridge over the River into Fishmore View to the east will enhance 			
	connectivity not only for future occupants but also existing residents wishing to			
	access the proposed riverside open space and the community facilities on Bromfield			
	Road, to the west. Please note, however, that whilst this link may be considered desirable (hence why it was proposed), it is not			
	absolutely essential to the scheme. So, if in the light of objections, Members wish it to be deleted, the			
	applicant is agreeable to this and a revised red edged site plan is available for			
	 substitution, if necessary. The Council's Noise Specialist has confirmed that the potential impact of noise from 			
	the A49 is not a significant issue and it should be noted that			

	ayout and
	ntation of properties and also noise insulation measures
	matters of detail for
the i	reserved matters stage.
• Th	e Council's ecologist is satisfied that the development
will I	have no significant
impa	act and there will be the opportunity to enhance
	ogical interests.
	ere appears to be some misconception about how the
	oosals might affect flood
	in other parts of the Town. Put quite simply, the
	ironment Agency and your
	drainage officers have scrutinised and approved the
	d Risk Assessment
	ch demonstrates that the proposed housing area will not
	n the flood plain.
	eover, surface water run-off has to be attenuated to the
	en-field rate so the
•	elopment will have no direct or indirect impact elsewhere
	nstream.
	e Council's SHLAA acknowledges the merits of this site
	perhaps if the access
	he A49 had been confirmed earlier, the site might well
	e been identified in the
	IDev. We say this because it is located within the
	sical and visual confines of
	Town as defined by the A49 on embankment and unlike
	er options
	elopment in this location will not be intrusive, nor lead to
	ner sprawl into the
	ntryside.
	e SAMDev allocations for Ludlow lie beyond the A49
	have attracted strong
	ections that will have to be considered by the Inspector
at th	e Examination.
	refore, contrary to the assertion in the report, these
prop	oosed allocations cannot
be a	ifforded significant weight at this time.
• In	any event, the application does not seek to compete
with	the SAMDev allocations,
but i	instead, the proposal will help improve the five year
	sing land supply, which is
	est marginal and at worst deficient.
	e suggest that in numerical terms, this site has attracted
	tively few objections
	a major scheme of this type, not least because it is a
	cal housing opportunity
-	will have very little impact upon the environment or
	er setting of the Town.
	ummary, the officer report is well-written and thorough
	it addresses and all of the
	considerations that are material to the determination of
-	application, based upon an
	ective assessment of the issues, leading to a reasoned
	ement on the Planning
	ance.
	ection 7.0, the report reaches a clear and unequivocal
	clusion that the proposal is
	eptable and it should benefit from the presumption in
acce	

	favour of sustainable development provided by the NPPF. It will come as no surprise to learn that we would urg to accept the recommendation to Approve.	je you
Item No. 10	Application No. 13/04840/FUL	Originator: Cllr Turley
developme	at the Committee be advised that Shifnal does not ent at The Uplands. After greater consultation with changed) their decision of July 2013 to one of reje	h Shifnal, the Town Council
ltem No. 11	Application No. 13/04956/FUL	Originator:
	 Additional representation: Strong objection to severe loss of entitlement to light/daylight to properties on Castle Terrace. Report does not adequately deal with issue of impact on overshadowing of neighbouring properties. A right to light has been established by occupation of the neighbouring properties. Application should be deferred and a light/daylight impact assessment should be requested before a decision is taken 	Mrs Fitzpatrick (neighbour)
	 1 additional representation Principal and specific objection is that the building will deprive main bedroom of most vital light by blocking the only window to the bedroom. The plans show that the wall of the house will be directly in front of the window albeit with a few feet between the 2. If you could look at the plans and the east elevation you will see my property at the back of the drawing, there are 3 gable ends and mine is the final one. The dormer window of the proposed property completely blocks my window. The sun shines from the back of my property and never from the front. The proposed building would prevent any light coming from the back and the only possible light would come from the front, which in turn would now be significantly darkened by the proposed property. The position of the sun needs by law to be taken into consideration. The law, as I have been advised, states that I am entitled to ancient rights of 	Mrs Scruton (neighbour)

	 than 20 years. Building infringes on 45degree rule for development in front of windows. Issues is loss of light, not loss of view. The right to light is important and it is not only myself who will be affected. The properties immediately in front of me in Bank Street will lose huge amounts of light, as will those on Castle Terrace. there are the other objection of a practical nature, which the residents have raised; parking, drainage, overdevelopment etc., making the application extremely contentious. 	
ltem No. 14	Application No. 14/01016/OUT	Originator: Mr Pugh (neighbour)
	comment from objector requesting a deferral so the advice on the Officer recommendation.	hat they can seek
ltem No. 14	Application No. 14/01016/OUT	Originator: Agent
applicatior ownership	mitted with land registry documents as proof of ow n site by the applicants. The agent comments that can accommodate all of the development propos	all the land in their client's
Item No.	d by the Shropshire Council Highways departmen Application No. 14/01016/OUT	